

**Large time behavior of solutions of  
Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations with  
superlinear nonlinearity in gradients**

**Naoyuki Ichihara**

**(Hiroshima University, Japan)**

**March, 2012**

**HJB equation.**  $Q := (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CP)} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + H(x, Du) &= 0 && \text{in } Q \\ u &= g && \text{on } \partial_p Q \end{aligned}$$

- $(x, p) \mapsto H(x, p)$  is smooth
- $p \mapsto H(x, p)$  is **convex** and **superlinear**
- $g \in C_p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} g > -\infty$  **bounded below**

**Objective.** Long-time behavior of the solution:

$$\frac{u(t, x)}{t} \longrightarrow ? \quad u(t, x) \longrightarrow ? \quad (t \rightarrow \infty)$$

**Related results.**

**PDE:** Barles-Souganidis('01), Souplet-Zhang('06),  
Barles-Porretta-Tchamba('10), Fujita-Ishii-Loreti('06),  
etc.

**Probability (math finance):** Fleming-Sheu('99),  
Nagai('03,'10), Hata-Nagai-Sheu('10), I.-Sheu('10), etc.

## Example 1 (quadratic case).

$$H(x, p) = \frac{1}{2}a(x)p \cdot p + b(x) \cdot p - V(x)$$

- $\alpha_1 I \leq a(x) \leq \alpha_2 I, \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$
- $\beta_1 |x|^2 - C \leq b(x) \cdot x \leq \beta_2 |x|^2 + C, \quad \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C > 0$
- $\gamma_1 |x|^2 - C \leq V(x) \leq \gamma_2 |x|^2 + C, \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C > 0$
- Either  $\gamma_1 > 0$  or  $\beta_1 > 0, \quad \gamma_1 > -\beta_1^2/\alpha_2$

**Example 2 (superlinear).**  $H(x, p) = \frac{1}{m}|p|^m - f(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CP)} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta u + \frac{1}{m}|Du|^m &= f \quad \text{in } Q \\ u &= g \quad \text{on } \partial_p Q \end{aligned}$$

- $m > 1$
- $C^{-1}|x|^\beta - C \leq f(x) \leq C(1 + |x|^\beta), \quad \beta > 0, C > 0$

In this talk, we discuss Example 2 only. (for simplicity)

## Stochastic control interpretation

$$u(T, x) = \inf_{\xi} E \left[ \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{1}{m^*} |\xi_t|^{m^*} + f(X_t^\xi) \right\} dt + g(X_T^\xi) \right]$$

$$X_t^\xi = x - \int_0^t \xi_s dt + W_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

- $m^* := \frac{m}{m-1} > 1$
- $W = (W_t)$ : standard  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^N$
- $\xi = (\xi_t)$ :  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued,  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -prog. m'ble (control)

**Theorem 1.** *(CP) has a minimal solution  $u$  in the class*

$$\Phi := \{u \in C^{1,2}(Q) \cap C_p(\bar{Q}) \mid \inf_{[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^N} u > -\infty, \forall T > 0\}.$$

*Moreover,  $u$  coincides with the value function of the stochastic control problem above.*

**Remark.** Suppose  $m \geq 2$  or  $g(x) \leq C(1 + |x|^{(\beta/m)+1})$ . Then, the solution is unique in  $\Phi$ .

**Long-time behavior.**  $u(T, x) \approx \lambda^*T + \varphi(x) + c \quad (T \gg 1)$

$$\frac{u(T, \cdot)}{T} \longrightarrow \lambda^* \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

$$u(T, \cdot) - \lambda^*T \longrightarrow \varphi(\cdot) + c \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

**Goal.** Characterization of  $(\lambda^*, \varphi)$  and  $c$ .

**Remark.**  $(\lambda^*, \varphi)$  does not depend on  $g$ , while  $c$  does.



**Simplest example.**  $N = 1, \quad m = 2, \quad f(x) = x^2, \quad g = 0$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 = x^2, \quad u|_{t=0} = 0$$

$$u(T, x) = \sqrt{2} t + \frac{e^{2\sqrt{2}T} - 1}{e^{2\sqrt{2}T} + 1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} x^2 - \log \frac{e^{2\sqrt{2}T}}{e^{2\sqrt{2}T} + 1} - \log 2$$
$$\approx \sqrt{2} T + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} x^2 - \log 2 \quad (T \gg 1)$$

**Limiting equation.**  $(\lambda^*, \varphi)$  is a solution of

$$(EP) \quad \lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi + \frac{1}{m}|D\phi|^m = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \phi(0) = 0$$

We call it an **ergodic problem**.

**Remark.** (EP) has many solutions  $(\lambda, \phi)$ .

How to select the **correct** candidate among them ?

**Simplest example.**  $N = 1, \quad m = 2, \quad f(x) = x^2$

$$(*) \quad \lambda - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_x^2 = x^2, \quad \phi(0) = 0$$

$(\lambda_1, \phi_1) = (\sqrt{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^2)$  is a solution of  $(*)$ .

$(\lambda_2, \phi_2) = (-\sqrt{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^2)$  is also a solution of  $(*)$ .

**Remark.** The former turns out to be the **correct** one.

## Characterization of the candidate.

$$(EP) \quad \lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi + \frac{1}{m}|D\phi|^m = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \phi(0) = 0$$

**Theorem 2.** (a) *There exists a constant  $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$(EP)_\lambda \text{ has a solution } \phi \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \lambda \leq \lambda^*$$

(b) *Let  $(\lambda, \phi)$  be any solution of (EP). Then,*

$$\lambda = \lambda^* \quad \text{iff} \quad \inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi > -\infty$$

*Moreover, such solution is **unique**.*

## Stochastic control interpretation.

Let  $(\lambda^*, \varphi)$  be the **unique** solution of (EP).

$$\lambda^* = \inf_{\xi} \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} E \left[ \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{m^*} |\xi_t|^{m^*} + f(X_t^\xi) \right) dt \right]$$
$$X_t^\xi = x - \int_0^t \xi_s dt + W_t$$

**Optimal feedback process.**  $\xi^*(x) := |D\varphi(x)|^{m-2} D\varphi(x)$

$$dX_t = -\xi^*(X_t) dt + dW_t, \quad t \geq 0$$

**Remark.**  $X = (X_t)$  is **ergodic** (positive recurrent).

**Simplest example.**  $N = 1, \quad m = 2, \quad f(x) = x^2$

$$\lambda^* - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_x^2 = x^2, \quad \varphi(0) = 0$$

$$\lambda^* = \sqrt{2}, \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^2$$

$$\xi^*(x) = |D\varphi(x)|^{m-2}D\varphi(x) = \varphi_x(x) = \sqrt{2}x$$

$$dX_t = -\sqrt{2}X_t dt + dW_t \quad (\text{Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process})$$

$$\text{Invariant measure: } \mu(x) = Z^{-1} \exp(-\sqrt{2}x^2) \quad (\text{Gaussian})$$

**Main results.**  $(\lambda^*, \varphi)$ : unique solution of (EP)

**Theorem 3.** For any solution  $u \in \Phi$  of (CP),

$$\frac{u(T, \cdot)}{T} \longrightarrow \lambda^* \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $\beta \geq m^* = \frac{m}{m-1}$ . Then,

$$u(T, \cdot) - (\lambda^* T + \varphi(\cdot)) \longrightarrow \exists c \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Remark.** We do not know if  $\beta \geq m^*$  is removable or not.

**Thank you for your attention!**